

TAX JURISPRUDENCE

CASE LAW ALERT – JUNE 2022 Vol-4

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF JUDGEMENTS / ADVANCE RULINGS UNDER INDIRECT TAXES

We are pleased to draw your attention to following important decisions which might be useful for you to take call on tax position.

Case & Citation	Issue Involved	Decision
Indirect Tax		
Sanchita Kundu Vs.	Whether ITC can be denied on	Honorable Calcutta High
The Assistant	the ground that the registration	Court disposed of the writ
Commissioner of	of the suppliers had already been	petition directing the GST
State Tax & Ors.	cancelled with retrospective	authority to allow ITC If it is
[W.P.A. 7231 and	effect?	found upon verification and
7232 of 2022]		considering the relevant
		documents that all the
		purchases and transactions in
		question are genuine and
		supported by valid documents
		and transactions in question
		were made before the
		cancellation of registration.
M/s Jayabheri	Whether GST is applicable on	GST is not applicable where
Orange Country	collection of monthly	monthly collection does not
<u>Owners</u>	maintenance charges, not	exceed Rs 7,500/- even if the
<u>Association</u>	exceeding Rs 7,500/- per	overall yearly collection
[2022-TIOL-66-	member, even if the total	crosses Rs 20 lakhs.
AAR-GST]	collection of the society/ RWA	
	crosses Rs 20 Lakhs in a year?	
	Whether GST is applicable, if in a	GST will be applicable only in
	particular month, where monthly	that month where monthly
	contribution crosses Rs 7,500/-	contribution crosses Rs
	due to collection of annual	7,500/
	sinking fund contribution along	
	with monthly maintenance?	

Whether monthly collection of	GST won't be applicable on
common area electricity charges	monthly collection of
on actual basis divided by carpet	common area electricity
area when charged pro-rata is	charges recovered at actual
liable to GST?	basis.

The brief analysis of above referred decisions and rulings are given below.

INDIRECT TAX

Case 1 – Sanchita Kundu Vs. The Assistant Commissioner of State Tax & Ors. [W.P.A. 7231 and 7232 of 2022]

Facts in brief & Issue Involved

- Petitioner had claimed ITC as per invoice issued by its suppliers after the due diligence of genuineness of the supplier on the GSTN portal;
- Later on, GST registration of the supplier was cancelled with retrospective effect. GST authority passed an order disallowing ITC pertaining to said supplier and also ordered recovery of interest and levy of penalty;
- Being aggrieved by the order, a writ petition was filed before Calcutta High Court challenging the order passed by the GST authority.

Contentions of the Petitioner

- Petitioner has verified the genuineness and identity of the suppliers. The name of the supplier was appearing as registered person on GSTN portal and said registration was valid at the time of transactions.
- When the name of the supplier was appearing on the Government records, petitioners could not be faulted if the supplier appeared to be fake later on.
- The invoice-wise details of all the purchases were available on the GSTN portal in form GSTR-2A.
- Invoice value including GST has been paid to the supplier and all the transactions were made through bank.
- Petitioner is helpless where revenue finds later (after the transactions were completed) that supplier was fake and bogus.

Observations & Decision of High Court

- If it is found upon verification of the relevant documents that all the purchases are genuine and supported by valid documents and were made before the cancellation of registration of the supplier, the benefit of ITC shall be granted.
- It cannot be said that there was any failure on the part of the petitioner in compliance with any statutory obligation before entering into the transactions in question.
- Writ Petition was disposed with the direction to GST department to dispose of the case by passing a reasoned and speaking order after giving an effective opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, within eight weeks.

NASA Comments

 This judgement comes as a great relief to trade and businesses as genuine ITC claim cannot be denied merely the registration of vendor is cancelled with retrospective effect at later date.

Case 2 – M/s Jayabheri Orange Country Owners Association [2022-TIOL-66-AAR-GST]

Facts in brief & Issue Involved

- Applicant is a Resident Welfare Association (RWA) collecting monthly maintenance charges, sinking fund and electricity charges used for common area.
- Applicant had approached the Authority of Advance ruling of Telangana to ascertain GST applicability where:
 - Total collection of society crosses Rs 20 lakhs in a year but monthly collection per member do not exceed Rs 7,500/-.

- Monthly contribution per member generally does not exceed Rs 7,500/-, however in a particular month it exceeded Rs. 7,500/- due to collection of sinking fund.
- o Electricity charges and water charges are collected on an actual basis.

Observations & Decision of Advance Ruling Authority

- Serial No. 77 of Notification No. 12/2017, as amended vide Notification No. 02/2018 dated 25.01.2018, provides that service by an unincorporated body or a non-profit entity to its own members is exempt up to an amount of Rs. 7,500/- per member for sourcing goods or services from a 3rd person for the common use of its members in a housing society or a residential complex.
- As per above entry, GST will not be applicable where monthly collection per member does not exceed Rs 7,500/- even if total yearly contribution crosses Rs 20 lakhs for the society.
- Amount collected for maintenance charges and any other charges including sinking fund exceeds Rs 7,500/-, it will be liable to GST.
- GST is not leviable on monthly collection of common area electricity charges from its residents on actual basis (pro-rata on carpet area basis).

NASA Comments

- This ruling brings some clarity for the society on applicability of GST on collection of various charges from its members on monthly or periodical basis.
- Ruling by AAR is binding only on applicant and its jurisdictional officer. It does not have general binding precedence value.

We will be glad to provide any elaboration or elucidation you may need in this regard.

The contents provided in this newsletter are for information purpose only and are intended, but not promised or guaranteed, to be correct, complete and up-to-date. The firm hereby disclaims any and all liability to any person for any loss or damage caused by errors or omissions, whether such errors or omissions result from negligence, accident or any other cause.



B 21-25 & B41-45, Paragon Centre, Pandurang Budhakar Marg, Mumbai – 400013 Tel: 91-022-4073 3000, Fax: 91-022-4073 3090

E-mail Id: info@nashah.com



